⟨ | Your location: <u>Grading Forms</u> › **Preview**

Preview - Wiki Project

This rubric will be used to derive the score your group wiki project. Points may deducted from this group score for individuals who are rated as ineffective or in active group participants.

Objective/Criteria	Performance Indicators		
	Doesn't Meet Expectations	**Meets Some Expectations**	**Meets All Expectations**
Collaboration	(0 points) The wiki site lacks cohesiveness, there is no evidence on threaded discussions or blogs that the group members have attempted to collaborate by posting ideas, plans, strategies and asking for feedback and considering suggestions.	(2 points) The wiki site is cohesive, and there is evidence on the team has communicated with each other by using at least one internet tool by posting ideas, asking for feedback, and considering the suggestions posted but this exchange was limited to only a few members of the group.	(4 points) The wiki site is cohesive, and there is evidence of brainstorming, negotiation, an active exchange and evolution of ideas in which most (if not all) group members participated in. The Team has used at least used synchronous (Twitter, IM,text messaging, WIMBA live classroom, Skype, etc.) and asynchronous communications tools (discussion threads, and blogs) to share information.
Format	(0 points) A space was not created for the group on wikispace.com and/or was not shared with group.	(2 points) - A space has been created for the group on wikispace.com - The space is named after their assigned island. - Each page displays at least two images - There is one page for each group member - Each page is numbered sequentially - Each wiki page contains at least one hyper link - Each page contains at more than_one entry from their blog - The layout format appears copied related pages found on wikipedia.org	(4 points) - A space has been created for the group on wikispace.com, -Each page in the wiki contains more than two images - There is one page for each group member. -The layout format is thoughtful and original - The images used support the points made in the text
Quality of writing	(0 points)	(2 points)	(4 points)

	-The tone and style of the writing varies from page to page - There are numerous grammatical and spellings mistakes - The copy is unpolished and communicates undeveloped ideas. - The tone of the content is flat and boring	- The tone and style of the writing is consistent from page to page - The copy has clearly undergone at least one major draft - Copy contains a few spelling and grammatical errors - _The facts contained in the text are sparse indicating little research time - The tone of the content is informative.	- The tone and style of the writing is consistent from page to page - The copy has clearly undergone at least two major drafts - The ideas are fully developed and thoughtful. - The facts contained in the text are accurate, well researched and may contain new facts. -The copy contains minimal spelling errors - The tone of the content is personal, engaging and informative
Internet tools	(0 points) Wiki project doesn't include an interactive internet map of a Boston Harbor Island, contains less than 7 descriptive tags, the pages don't contain and don't contain a rss tag, hyperlinks, or photos published from a photosharing site.	(2 points) Wiki project includes: map mashup of a Boston Harbor Island, with 7 descriptive tags, and contains a rss tag, hyperlinks, or photos published from a photosharing site.	(4 points) Wiki project includes: one interactive internet map of a Boston Harbor Island, with more than 7 tags that containing descriptive text,images and hyperliinks, one rss feed on a subject related to the island appears on each page, at least three hyperlinks per page to other web sites two photos per page published to the wiki site from a photo sharing site one link to a team members blog appears on each page.
Research	(0 points) No references are cited	(2 points) Some References are	(4 points) Information about the

	and the information about the island appear to have been gathered from one or two sources.	cited but they are not displayed in the APA format.	island has been gathered from a wide range of internet sources including: web pages, e-books, video sharing sites, photo sharing sites, online news papers, podcasts, on-line journal articles and blogs and sources of this info is displayed in a bibliographic section in APA format
			out of 20

OK